



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/672,415

09/28/2000

Wendy F. Hunter

10991850-1

8198

7590

08/12/2004

Harry F. Smith, Esq.
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle
One Landmark Square
Suite 903
Stamford, CT 06901

EXAMINER

RAHIMI, IRAJ A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/672,415

Applicant(s)

HUNTER, WENDY F.

Examiner

(Iraj) Alan Rahimi

Art Unit

2622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 May 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

Tyler Lamb
TYLER LAMB
PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In papers filed on May 24, 2004, applicant added new claims 29-32 and filed a declaration.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's Declaration, see Paper #6, filed May 24, 2004, with respect to claims 1-28 have been fully considered and is persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-28 has been withdrawn. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-4, 8-11, 15-18, 22-25, and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reed (US patent 6,426,801).

Regarding claim 1, Reed discloses a printer user interface comprising:

a display 40 for displaying information, including messages to a user (column 4, lines 4-9);

Art Unit: 2622

an input device 38 for enabling the user to respond to the displayed messages (column 3, lines 64-67 and column 4, lines 4-9);

an interface 32/34 to a detachable memory device including at least one image file; and
a processor (controller 70) coupled to said input device, said display, and to said interface, said processor responsive to a first user input to print a thumbnail of said at least one image file in the same orientation in which said image file was acquired by utilizing stored orientation information (column 7, lines 8-24). Since thumbnail images are associated with one of the graphic files 102, 106, 108 and 110, it suggests printing of images as they were recorded in the file, which also includes the original orientation.

Regarding claim 2, Reed discloses the user interface of claim 1, wherein said at least one image file is a plurality of image files forming a set of image files (102, 106, 108, 110), and said processor is further responsive to a second user input to print an index page of thumbnails of a selected subset of said plurality of image files (column 7, lines 25-40).

Regarding claim 3, Reed discloses the user interface of claim 1, wherein said processor is further responsive to a third user input, specifying a number of thumbnails to be printed for each of said at least one image file (column 7, lines 8-24). By pressing the index button one set of thumbnail images are printed.

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 4, Reed discloses the user interface of claim 1, wherein said at least one image file is assigned a unique identification in said detachable memory device, and wherein said thumbnail is printed with said unique identification (column 7, lines 25-40).

Regarding claims 8-11, 5-18, 22-25, 29 and 31 arguments analogous to those presented for claims 1-4, are respectively applicable.

Regarding claim 30 and 32, arguments analogous to those presented for claims 1 and 2, are applicable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 5-7, 12-14, 19-21 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed (US patent 6,426,801) in view of Hirai (US patent 6,493,108).

Regarding claim 5, Reed discloses in column 7, lines 25-40 the user interface of claim 4, wherein said at least one image file is assigned an image number and a date in said detachable memory device, and said thumbnail is printed with said image number. However, he does not specifically disclose printing date with the thumbnail image. Hirai discloses in Fig. 5, printing

Art Unit: 2622

order date with the thumbnail images. Reed and Hirai are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor that is processing images for printing including thumbnail images. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art, at the time of invention to print the date with the thumbnail image. The motivation to do so would have been to indicate when the print order was placed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art, at the time of invention to combine Hirai with Reed to obtain the invention as specified in claim.

Regarding claim 6, Hirai discloses the user interface of claim 5, wherein said unique identification, said image number, and said date are printed outside of a border of said thumbnail (Fig. 5).

Regarding claim 7, Hirai discloses the user interface of claim 1, wherein a printed size of said thumbnail is determined by a size of a sheet on which said thumbnail is to be printed (column 11, lines 58-65).

Regarding claims 12-14, 19-21 and 26-28 arguments analogous to those presented for claims 5-7, are respectively applicable.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Iraj) Alan Rahimi whose telephone number is 703-306-3473. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L Coles can be reached on 703-305-4712. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3800.

AR

Alan Rahimi
July 30, 2004


TWYLER LAMB
PATENT EXAMINER
